

# No blank check for Bush

---

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

**Author(s)** The Boston Globe editorial board

**Source** The Boston Globe

**Date** June 30, 2006

**URL** [http://www.boston.com/news/globe/editorial\\_opinion/editorials/articles/2006/06/30/no\\_blank\\_check\\_for\\_bush/](http://www.boston.com/news/globe/editorial_opinion/editorials/articles/2006/06/30/no_blank_check_for_bush/)

**Quote**

“ Bush should do what he should have done in 2002: Try suspects fairly in courts, military or civilian, that would show that justice has not fallen victim to terrorism in the United States.” ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic Hamdan v. Rumsfeld.

---

Retrieved from "[https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=No\\_blank\\_check\\_for\\_Bush&oldid=3406](https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=No_blank_check_for_Bush&oldid=3406)"

---

**This page was last edited on September 28, 2006, at 15:51.**

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.