

Obamacare, Two Years Later

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Michael Tanner

Source National Review

Date March 21, 2012

URL <http://www.nationalreview.com/articles/294000/obamacare-two-years-later-michael-tanner>

Quote

“ when health-care reform was passed, we were promised that it would do three things: 1) provide health-insurance coverage for all Americans; 2) reduce insurance costs for individuals, businesses, and government; and 3) increase the quality of health care and the value received for each dollar of health-care spending. At the same time, the president and the law’s supporters in Congress promised that the legislation would not increase the federal-budget deficit or unduly burden the economy. And it would do all these things while letting those of us who were happy with our current health insurance keep it unchanged. Two years in, we can see that none of these things is true. ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Act should not have been passed on the topic Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Obamacare,_Two_Years_Later&oldid=15359"

This page was last edited on March 28, 2012, at 12:22.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.