## U.S. policy on Syria still lacks coherence

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

## This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The Washington Post editorial board

**Source** The Washington Post

**Date** May 1, 2013

 $\textbf{URL} \qquad \text{http://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/us-policy-on-syria-still-lacks-coherence/2013/05/01/dbda6cfc-b27b-11e2-bbf2-a6f9e9d79e19\_story.html} \\$ 

Ouote

What's needed is what the opposition has repeatedly requested: a no-fly zone in parts of Syria, or other measures — such as attacks with missiles and stealth bombers — to ground the Syrian air force. Yes, such measures would have to be taken without a United Nations resolution, and they would upset Mr. Putin. But if Mr. Obama continues to pursue a policy of awaiting U.N. consensus and deferring to Russia, the result will be more crossings of his red line — and grave damage to U.S. interests.

,,

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position United States should intervene on the topic Syrian civil war.

## Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=U.S. policy on Syria still lacks coherence&oldid=16501"

This page was last edited on May 2, 2013, at 16:04.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.