

# 5 wrong justices

---

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

**Author(s)** John Yoo

**Source** USA Today

**Date** June 29, 2006

**URL** [http://www.usatoday.com/news/opinion/editorials/2006-06-29-oppose\\_x.htm](http://www.usatoday.com/news/opinion/editorials/2006-06-29-oppose_x.htm)

**Quote**

“What the justices did would have been unthinkable in prior military conflicts: Judicial intervention in the decisions of the president and Congress on how best to wage war. They replaced his wartime judgment and Congress' support with their own speculation that open trials would not run intelligence risks.”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic Hamdan v. Rumsfeld.

---

Retrieved from "[https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=5\\_wrong\\_justices&oldid=3153](https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=5_wrong_justices&oldid=3153)"

---

**This page was last edited on September 18, 2006, at 17:09.**

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.