

A New START Treaty? No Deal

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Investor's Business Daily editorial board

Source Investor's Business Daily

Date November 17, 2010

URL <http://www.investors.com/NewsAndAnalysis/Article/554133/201011171912/A-New-START-Treaty-No-Deal.aspx>

Quote

“At stake is something far beyond compliance procedures and bean-counting of warheads — the future of America and other free countries having a credible missile defense against nuclear attack. As pointed out by Frank Gaffney, president of the Center for Security Policy, New START could end up resuscitating the corpse of the lopsided 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty from which President George W. Bush withdrew in 2001. Gaffney notes that some senators worry the treaty "will effectively hobble once again America's ability to protect its people and allies" using anti-nuclear missile defense, "even from threats emerging from North Korea and Iran — and that Russia will withdraw from the treaty if that proves not to be the case.”

”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Treaty should be ratified on the topic New START.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=A_New_START_Treaty%3F_No_Deal&oldid=13460"

This page was last edited on November 23, 2010, at 18:35.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.