A Victory for the Rule of Law

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s)	The New York Times editorial board	
Source	The New York Times	
Date	June 30, 2006	
URL	http://select.nytimes.com/search/restricted/article?res=F40D17F63F540C738FDDAF0894DE404482) -
Quote	 "The Supreme Court's decision striking down the military tribunals set up to try the detainees being held in Guantánamo Bay is far more than a narrow ruling on the issue of military courts. It is an important and welcome reaffirmation that even in times of war, the law is what the Constitution, the statute books and the Geneva Conventions say it is not what the president wants it to be." 	

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic Hamdan v. Rumsfeld.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=A_Victory_for_the_Rule_of_Law&oldid=3147"

This page was last edited on September 18, 2006, at 16:45.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.