

A defeat for Bolton could undercut US policy

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Thomas M. Boyd

Source The Boston Globe

Date August 1, 2006

URL http://www.boston.com/news/globe/editorial_opinion/oped/articles/2006/08/01/a_defeat_for_bolton_could_undercut_us_policy/

Quote

“ Indeed, most of the criticism aimed at Bolton has nothing to do with him. More than anything else, it represents an effort to set the political stage for the upcoming midterm congressional elections in November. ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Bolton should be confirmed on the topic Confirmation of John Bolton as U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, 2006.

This item argues against the position Confirmation should be filibustered on the topic Confirmation of John Bolton as U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, 2006.

This item refers to the previous opinion item Why I'll Vote for Bolton.

This item refers to the previous opinion item A public advocate for the United States.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=A_defeat_for_Bolton_could_undercut_US_policy&oldid=8388"

This page was last edited on November 26, 2008, at 19:36.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.