A rebuked Bush

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) San Francisco Chronicle editorial board

Source San Francisco Chronicle

Date June 13, 2008

URL http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2008/06/13/EDI7118A7B.DTL

Ouote

"This 5-to-4 ruling cuts to the essence of American values and the rule of law: Habeas corpus, the centuries-old legal principle that an individual has a right to go to court to challenge the legality of his or her detention. This is one of the basic standards that separates free and totalitarian nations. The Bush administration shredded this and other civil liberties under the guise of protecting Americans after the terrorist attacks of Sept. 11, 2001. Time and again - most recently Thursday - the White House presented a false choice between pursuit of terrorism and respect for rights of the accused."

"

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic Boumediene v. Bush.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=A_rebuked_Bush&oldid=7733"

This page was last edited on June 17, 2008, at 19:42.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.