An Outrage

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) National Review editorial board

Source National Review

Date June 30, 2006

URL http://article.nationalreview.com/?q=ZTYwOTYzMWY5NGZINDM0MTg2MDc3ZjkxYmI4ZmY4NmU==

Quote

"The Supreme Court's decision to impose by judicial fiat a treaty that no politically accountable official would dare propose — a one-sided compact wherein the United States gives elevated due process to al Qaeda's terrorists while they continue slaughtering civilians and torturing their captives to death — is an abomination."

,,

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic Hamdan v. Rumsfeld.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=An Outrage&oldid=3397"

This page was last edited on September 28, 2006, at 15:25.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.