## An empty amendment

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s)	The Washington Times editorial board	
Source	The Washington Times	
Date	November 9, 2005	
URL	http://www3.washingtontimes.com/op-ed/20051108-102817-8909r.htm	
Quote	"But what's most troubling is that the amendment likely would be interpreted as a zero-tolerance policy against all effective interrogation techniques, which explains the administration's resistance. After September 11, the president rightly determined that terrorists taken on the battlefield are not enemy combatants and therefore are not under the protections of the Geneva Conventions."	,,

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Amendment should be passed on the topic McCain Detainee Amendment.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=An\_empty\_amendment&oldid=6277"

This page was last edited on July 16, 2007, at 16:35.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.