Arm and Shame

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s)	Thomas Friedman	
Source	The New York Times	
Date	September 3, 2013	
URL	http://www.nytimes.com/2013/09/04/opinion/friedman-arm-and-shame.html	
Quote	66 But the Sunnis are themselves divided between the pro-Western, secular Free Syrian Army, which we'd like to see win, and the pro-Islamist and pro-Al Qaeda jihadist groups, like the Nusra Front, which we'd like to see lose. That's why I think the best response to the use of poison gas by President Bashar al-Assad is not a cruise missile attack on Assad's forces, but an increase in the training and arming of the Free Syrian Army — including the antitank and antiaircraft weapons it's long sought.	,,

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position United States should intervene on the topic Syrian civil war.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Arm_and_Shame&oldid=16862"

This page was last edited on September 4, 2013, at 18:15.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.