

Back to the future on energy

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Scot Lehigh

Source The Boston Globe

Date June 18, 2010

URL http://www.boston.com/bostonglobe/editorial_opinion/oped/articles/2010/06/18/back_to_the_future_on_energy/

Quote

“ Still, the price of carbon-based fuels will have to be higher and stay higher for the nation's energy mix to undergo a fundamental shift. The best way to accomplish that is by putting a price on the carbon and other greenhouse emissions, externalities long freely disposed of in the environment. And the most efficient way of doing that is through a cap-and-trade system, which would tap market forces to reduce those emissions.”

”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Act should be passed on the topic American Clean Energy and Security Act.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Back_to_the_future_on_energy&oldid=12187"

This page was last edited on June 18, 2010, at 13:16.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.