Broccoli Mandates and the Commerce Clause

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) James B. Stewart

Source The New York Times

Date March 30, 2012

URL http://www.nytimes.com/2012/03/31/business/the-health-care-mandate-and-the-constitution.html

Quote

Of course, the Supreme Court could reverse decades of its own jurisprudence and fundamentally redefine and limit the power of Congress to regulate interstate commerce. But conservatives should be careful what they wish for. The commerce clause was a response to the chaotic and often conflicting state regulations that hobbled the nation under the Articles of Confederation. Its interpretation over the ensuing two centuries has wisely reflected the growing nationalization and globalization of economic activity and, by doing so, has promoted economic growth.

"

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Act is unconstitutional on the topic Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Broccoli Mandates and the Commerce Clause&oldid=15377"

This page was last edited on April 1, 2012, at 20:49.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.