

Can't Be Bothered

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The Washington Post editorial board

Source The Washington Post

Date December 5, 2006

URL <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/12/04/AR2006120401196.html>

Quote

“ Some trade bills are so obviously beneficial and unobjectionable that there's no excuse for letting them languish. This is the case with a raft of measures that would extend trade preferences for poor countries -- preferences due to expire at the end of the year.”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Agreement should be ratified on the topic Colombia-United States Free Trade Agreement.

This item argues for the position Agreement should be ratified on the topic Peru-United States Free Trade Agreement.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Can%27t_Be_Bothered&oldid=4683"

This page was last edited on March 11, 2007, at 10:49.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.