Confirm Bolton

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The Washington Times editorial board

Source The Washington Times

Date July 26, 2006

URL http://www3.washingtontimes.com/op-ed/20060725-092600-3519r.htm

Quote

"Mr. Bolton has advocated much-needed management reforms in the wake of the oil-for-food scandal; he has rightly shunned the new Human Rights Council as a tool of human-rights abusers, like Cuba and Libya, while simultaneously calling attention to genuine human-rights crises, like Darfur -- over which he sharply criticized U.N. Secretary-General Kofi Annan in February; and he remains a lonely advocate for Israel in a forum famously hostile to the U.S. ally."

"

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Bolton should be confirmed on the topic Confirmation of John Bolton as U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, 2006.

This item refers to the previous opinion item Why I'll Vote for Bolton.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Confirm_Bolton&oldid=6292"

This page was last edited on July 16, 2007, at 16:37.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.