Edwards, Pelosi reveal Dems' two paths on health care

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s)	The Dallas Morning News editorial board	
Source	The Dallas Morning News	
Date	March 17, 2010	
URL	$http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/state.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/state.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/state.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/state.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/state.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/state.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/state.Edition1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opinion1.27b90aContent/dws/dn/opin$).html
Quote	"Instead, the Slaughter plan would have the House vote only on eliminating offensive provisions like the "Cornhusker Kickback" and "Louisiana Purchase" in amendments while "deeming" the Senate bill to be passed, with no recorded vote. We can argue long into the night at the next tea (or coffee) party whether this is constitutional or that Republicans, when they ran the show, did the same thing. More important is that this is a dodgy tactic wholly unfit for a bill of such magnitude."	"

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Act should be passed on the topic Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Edwards,_Pelosi_reveal_Dems%27_two_paths_on_health_care&oldid =11492"

This page was last edited on March 19, 2010, at 17:10.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.