

Emergency Over, Saith the Court

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Charles Krauthammer

Source The Washington Post

Date July 7, 2006

URL <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/07/06/AR2006070601552.html>

Quote

“ All rise: The Supreme Court has decreed a return to normality. A lovely idea, except that al-Qaeda has other ideas. The war does go on. One can sympathize with the court's desire for a Harding-like restoration to normalcy. But the robed eminences are premature. And even if they weren't, they really didn't have to issue a ruling this bad.”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic Hamdan v. Rumsfeld.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Emergency_Over,_Saith_the_Court&oldid=3133"

This page was last edited on September 18, 2006, at 15:52.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.