

FISA Reform: The Bad Bill that Beats No Bill

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Andrew C. McCarthy

Source National Review

Date February 14, 2008

URL <http://article.nationalreview.com/?q=Njk3MzYyN2YxZWl5MzExOGNhMjg2NDBjYzdIZTNkZWU=>

Quote

“ Every federal appellate court to rule on the issue — including the highest, most specialized court created by Congress strictly to rule on surveillance matters, the Foreign Intelligence Court of Review — has concluded that the president has inherent constitutional authority to order surveillance on foreign threats to national security. In a perfect world, President Bush would be able to tell recalcitrant Democrats in the House, “Don’t want to pass the Senate bill? Fine. I’ll order surveillance to continue under my Article II power.” ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Act should be renewed on the topic Protect America Act of 2007.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=FISA_Reform:_The_Bad_Bill_that_Beats_No_Bill&oldid=7271"

This page was last edited on February 18, 2008, at 14:50.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.