Position: Compromise bill should be passed

This position addresses the topic Fair Minimum Wage Act of 2007.

For this position

“"The House measure contains only modest tax cuts, worth $1.3 billion over the next 10 years, and is focused on the small businesses that are most likely to be harmed by a higher minimum wage, like mom-and-pop restaurants. We would have preferred a straightforward minimum wage increase. But the House bill is a reasonable response to the Senate’s refusal to move forward without tax cuts."


Against this position

“"There's a more direct path to improving incomes for the working poor. Instead of requiring employers to pay more, and then allowing them to apply for reimbursement through tax subsidies, why not skip the middleman and subsidize the worker directly?"

From The Bare Minimum, by Sarah Hamersma (The New York Times, March 8, 2007) (view)

Mixed on this position

No results
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