

# Fallout from a US treaty failure

---

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

**Author(s)** James Carroll

**Source** The Boston Globe

**Date** November 29, 2010

**URL** [http://www.boston.com/bostonglobe/editorial\\_opinion/oped/articles/2010/11/29/fallout\\_from\\_a\\_us\\_treaty\\_failure/](http://www.boston.com/bostonglobe/editorial_opinion/oped/articles/2010/11/29/fallout_from_a_us_treaty_failure/)

**Quote**

“ The dread consequences of this treaty failure are described by the commentariat in relation to discrete problems with Russia (no inspections, no reset), Iran (an affronted Russia won't help pressure Tehran), or Obama's broader foreign policy (mortally wounded), but the true stakes are far higher — a final defeat of the hard-won international consensus that nuclear weapons are in a category apart, requiring a steady movement, however incremental, from limitation to reduction to an ultimate abolition.”

”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Treaty should be ratified on the topic New START.

---

Retrieved from "[https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Fallout\\_from\\_a\\_US\\_treaty\\_failure&oldid=13525](https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Fallout_from_a_US_treaty_failure&oldid=13525)"

---

**This page was last edited on November 29, 2010, at 14:38.**

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.