

Free speech and campaigns

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Chicago Tribune editorial board

Source Chicago Tribune

Date June 26, 2007

URL <http://www.chicagotribune.com/news/opinion/chi-0626edit2jun26,0,1956859.story>

Quote

“Dissenting justices David Souter, John Paul Stevens, Ruth Bader Ginsburg and Stephen Breyer railed against the decision, denouncing the high cost of modern campaigns and "the electoral leverage of concentrations of money." These laments suggest they think their role is to advance what they see as wise policy. In fact, the job of the court is to assure that laws, however well-intended, comply with the demands of the Constitution.”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Supreme Court was right to strike down "Wellstone Amendment" on the topic Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Free_speech_and_campaigns&oldid=6053"

This page was last edited on June 26, 2007, at 12:41.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.