

Habeas for Guantanamo detainees

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Los Angeles Times editorial board

Source Los Angeles Times

Date June 13, 2008

URL <http://www.latimes.com/news/opinion/editorials/la-ed-gitmo13-2008jun13,0,2798636.story>

Quote

“ Although the ruling rested on an interpretation of the Constitution, it also reflected the court's impatience at the glacial pace of due process for suspects who have been imprisoned for six years. In a dissent caustic even for him, Justice Antonin Scalia referred to detainees as "alien enemies" (while suggesting that the ruling "will almost certainly cause more Americans to be killed"). But presumption is not proof. As Kennedy pointed out, a detainee is entitled to a "meaningful opportunity" to demonstrate that he is being held in error. "

”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic *Boumediene v. Bush*.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Habeas_for_Guantanamo_detainees&oldid=7729"

This page was last edited on June 17, 2008, at 17:37.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.