

Hamdan v. Rumsfeld / Supreme Court should rule in Hamdan's favor

< Hamdan v. Rumsfeld

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

Position: Supreme Court should rule in Hamdan's favor

This position addresses the topic Hamdan v. Rumsfeld.

For this position

No results

Against this position

“ But regardless of the jurisdictional question, Hamdan should not be hard to decide on the merits. Hamdan is an illegal combatant, and President Bush is well within both the bounds of congressional authorization and the tradition of presidential wartime powers in ordering that he be tried by military commission.” ”

From Court to Commission, by National Review editorial board (*National Review*, March 28, 2006) (view)

Mixed on this position

No results

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Hamdan_v._Rumsfeld/_Supreme_Court_should_rule_in_Hamdan%27s_favor&oldid=3396"

This page was last edited on September 28, 2006, at 15:23.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.