In Baker's Blunder, A Chance For Bush

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Charles Krauthammer

Source The Washington Post

Date December 15, 2006

URL http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/12/14/AR2006121401367.html

Quote

"Now, there's a diplomatic achievement: undermining our hard-earned agreement with the Europeans to make any future approach to Iran dependent on the suspension of uranium enrichment in order to... demonstrate to the world that a country providing sophisticated weapons, roadside bombs and financial support to both sides of the civil war does not support stability there."

"

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Iraq Study Group's recommendations should be followed on the topic Post-invasion Iraq.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=In Baker%27s Blunder, A Chance For Bush&oldid=4258"

This page was last edited on December 15, 2006, at 14:44.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.