

In Syria, U.S. inaction is better than intervention

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Eugene Robinson

Source The Washington Post

Date April 29, 2013

URL http://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/eugene-robinson-in-syria-us-inaction-is-better-than-intervention/2013/04/29/2dd8ec06-b103-11e2-bbf2-a6f9e9d79e19_story.html

Quote “ It would be wrong to seek the survival of a dictator as brutal and oppressive as Assad solely because of his effectiveness in squelching militant Islam. But it would be foolish to give advanced weapons and training to rebels who, after dealing with Assad, are likely to threaten U.S. allies and interests. ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position United States should intervene on the topic Syrian civil war.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=In_Syria,_U.S._inaction_is_better_than_intervention&oldid=16498"

This page was last edited on May 1, 2013, at 03:38.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.