

Iraq is no 'Nam

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Helle Dale

Source The Washington Times

Date January 31, 2007

URL <http://www3.washingtontimes.com/op-ed/20070130-085827-4194r.htm>

Quote

“ Enemies of the United States will take heart from several resolutions currently under consideration in the Senate, which may be voted on as early as today. Two of them oppose President Bush's proposal for a 20,000 troop strong "surge" of U.S. troops into Iraq, the cornerstone of Mr. Bush's newly unveiled plan for dealing with the sectarian violence in Baghdad and for securing the country's stability and democratic future. ” ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position United States should increase troop levels on the topic Post-invasion Iraq.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Iraq_is_no_%27Nam&oldid=6253"

This page was last edited on July 16, 2007, at 16:27.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.