Just reading Obamacare cruel and unusual punishment

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Mark Steyn

Source The Orange County Register

Date March 30, 2012

URL http://www.ocregister.com/opinion/government-347024-law-court.html

Quote

A 2,700-page law is not a "law" by any civilized understanding of the term. Law rests on the principle of equality before it. When a bill is 2,700 pages, there's no equality: Instead, there's a hierarchy of privilege microregulated by an unelected, unaccountable, unconstrained, unknown and unnumbered bureaucracy. It's not just that the legislators who legislate it don't know what's in it, nor that the citizens on the receiving end can ever hope to understand it, but that even the nation's most eminent judges acknowledge that it is beyond individual human comprehension.

"

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Act should not have been passed on the topic Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

This item argues for the position Act is unconstitutional on the topic Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Just_reading_Obamacare_cruel_and_unusual_punishment&oldid=153 85"

This page was last edited on April 3, 2012, at 03:32.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.