

Justice seems to have forgotten one crucial point: We're at war

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Joshua Filler

Source USA Today

Date November 19, 2009

URL <http://blogs.usatoday.com/oped/2009/11/column-justice-seems-to-have-forgotten-one-crucial-point-were-at-war-.html>

Quote

“ Osama bin Laden, Mohammed and their al-Qaeda cohorts do not have the civilian constitutional right to remain silent, to have an attorney, to a speedy trial, to be judged by a jury of their peers and so on. By categorizing these men as mere criminals, we have incongruently tried to merge war-fighting tactics with the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and the Federal Rules of Evidence. On the one hand, we drop bombs on al-Qaeda using Predator drones and, on the other, we have to "Mirandize" them if captured. This is nonsensical.”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Trial should be held in criminal court on the topic United States v. Khalid Sheikh Mohammed, et al..

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Justice_seems_to_have_forgotten_one_crucial_point:_We%27re_at_war&oldid=10578"

This page was last edited on November 23, 2009, at 17:59.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.