

Labor move could backfire — on workers.

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Richard Hankins

Source The Atlanta Journal-Constitution

Date March 2, 2007

URL <http://www.ajc.com/search/content/opinion/stories/2007/03/01/0302edunion.html>

Quote

“ “[Employers] may more frequently employ the lawful, but until now rarely used, tactic of "offensive lockouts" to pressure employees and their unions to accept a contract before the issue is placed in the unpredictable hands of a disinterested arbitrator. While the card check provisions of the Employee Free Choice Act might inflate union membership roles, the mandatory arbitration clause could devastate the very people those unions seek to represent.” ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Act should be passed on the topic Employee Free Choice Act.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Labor_move_could_backfire_-_on_workers.&oldid=5266"

This page was last edited on April 11, 2007, at 03:43.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.