

Las Vegas Review-Journal editorial board

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is the editorial board of Las Vegas Review-Journal.

Political affiliation:

Items by this editorial board:

- 'We wrote the bill' (Topic: American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, Position: Act should be passed, Stance: against)
- A pre-9/11 approach to terror (Topic: United States v. Khalid Sheikh Mohammed, et al., Position: Trial should be held in criminal court, Stance: against)
- Affordable Care Act on the ballot (Topic: Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Position: Act should not have been passed, Stance: for)
- Amending the First Amendment (Topic: Democracy For All Amendment, Position: Amendment should be ratified, Stance: against)
- Campaign to save Obamacare full of fibs (Topic: Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Position: Act should not have been passed, Stance: for)
- Costs? What costs? (Topic: Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Position: Act should not have been passed, Stance: for)
- Down on the farm (Topic: 2007 U.S. Farm Bill, Position: Bill should be passed, Stance: against)
- For bankruptcy and socialism (Topic: Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Position: Act should be passed, Stance: against)
- For president: Mitt Romney (Topic: United States presidential election, 2012, Position: Obama should be elected, Stance: against)
- For president: Mitt Romney (Topic: United States presidential election, 2012, Position: Romney should be elected, Stance: for)
- Health care lies (Topic: Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Position: Act should not have been passed, Stance: for)
- Here come the Obamacare taxes (Topic: Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Position: Act should not have been passed, Stance: for)
- John Bolton deserves to remain U.N. envoy (Topic: Confirmation of John Bolton as U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, 2006, Position: Bolton should be confirmed, Stance: for)
- John Bolton deserves to remain U.N. envoy (Topic: Confirmation of John Bolton as U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, 2006, Position: Confirmation should be filibustered, Stance: against)

- ObamaCare looms (Topic: Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Position: Act should not have been passed, Stance: for)
 - Obamacare premiums nowhere near affordable (Topic: Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Position: Act should not have been passed, Stance: for)
 - ObamaCare will tighten doctor pools (Topic: Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Position: Act should not have been passed, Stance: for)
 - Obamacare woes beg for repeal (Topic: Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Position: Act should not have been passed, Stance: for)
 - Obamacare's broken promises, cancellations only beginning (Topic: Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Position: Act should not have been passed, Stance: for)
 - ObamaCare's summer of fall (Topic: Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Position: Act should not have been passed, Stance: for)
 - One year later, ObamaCare still in ICU (Topic: Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Position: Act should not have been passed, Stance: for)
 - Problem-plagued exchange rollout bolsters case against Obamacare (Topic: Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Position: Act should not have been passed, Stance: for)
 - Review-Journal Endorsement: President of the United States (Topic: 2020 United States presidential election, Position: Biden should be elected, Stance: against)
 - Review-Journal Endorsement: President of the United States (Topic: 2020 United States presidential election, Position: Trump should be elected, Stance: for)
 - U.S. Senate should set to hear nomination of Neil Gorsuch to Supreme Court (Topic: Neil Gorsuch Supreme Court nomination, Position: Gorsuch should be confirmed, Stance: for)
 - Victory for free speech (Topic: McCutcheon v. Federal Election Commission, Position: Supreme Court voted correctly, Stance: for)
 - We can't wait to see how this boondoggle blows up (Topic: American Clean Energy and Security Act, Position: Act should be passed, Stance: against)
-

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Las_Vegas_Review-Journal_editorial_board&oldid=5032"

This page was last edited on March 22, 2007, at 00:53.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.