Military action is costly, but not as much as apathy

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Richard Cohen

Source The Washington Post

Date March 28, 2011

 $\textbf{URL} \qquad \text{http://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/military-action-is-costly-but-not-as-much-as-apathy/2011/03/28/AFRI7mqB_story.html} \\$

Ouote

Maybe we'll get bogged down and have to stay for years. Maybe the rebels are the really bad guys. On the other hand, lives were clearly at stake and something had to be done. The world could not simply shove its hands in its pockets and stand by as some madman had his way with people in his grip — in spirit, a reprise of the Evian conference.

"

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position United States should impose a no-fly zone on the topic 2011 Libyan uprising.

This item refers to the previous opinion item The Libya Intervention.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Military_action_is_costly,_but_not_as_much_as_apathy&oldid=14242

This page was last edited on March 29, 2011, at 14:24.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.