## **Neil Gorsuch Faces the Senate**

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The New York Times editorial board

**Source** The New York Times

**Date** March 20, 2017

**URL** https://www.nytimes.com/2017/03/20/opinion/neil-gorsuch-faces-the-senate.html

Quote

Gorsuch became President Trump's nominee only after Senate Republicans' outrageous and unprecedented blockade of Merrick Garland, whom President Barack Obama picked to fill the same seat more than a year ago and who by all rights should long ago have been sitting where Judge Gorsuch is now — introducing his family, smiling for the flashbulbs and listening patiently as senators lecture him about the Constitution. But Senate Republicans made sure that would never happen, refusing even to meet with Judge Garland — the chief of the federal appeals court in Washington and one of the most widely respected judges in the country — let alone give him a hearing or a vote.

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Gorsuch should be confirmed on the topic Neil Gorsuch Supreme Court nomination.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Neil Gorsuch Faces the Senate&oldid=29077"

This page was last edited on March 22, 2017, at 19:14.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.