

News Flash: The Constitution Means What It Says

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Randy Barnett

Source The Wall Street Journal

Date June 27, 2008

URL <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB121452412614009067.html>

Quote

“ Justice Scalia's opinion is the finest example of what is now called "original public meaning" jurisprudence ever adopted by the Supreme Court. This approach stands in sharp contrast to Justice John Paul Stevens's dissenting opinion that largely focused on "original intent" - the method that many historians employ to explain away the text of the Second Amendment by placing its words in what they call a "larger context." ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic District of Columbia v. Heller.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=News_Flash:_The_Constitution_Means_What_It_Says&oldid=7823"

This page was last edited on June 27, 2008, at 16:00.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.