Obama's Keystone pipeline rejection is hard to accept

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s)	The Washington Post editorial board	
Source	The Washington Post	
Date	January 18, 2012	
URL	http://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/obamas-keystone-pipeline-rejection-is-hard-to-accept/2012/01/18/gIQAf9UG9P_story.htm	ıl
Quote	We almost hope this was a political call because, on the substance, there should be no question. Without the pipeline, Canada would still export its bitumen — with long-term trends in the global market, it's far too valuable to keep in the ground — but it would go to China. And, as a State Department report found, U.S. refineries would still import low-quality crude — just from the Middle East. Stopping the pipeline, then, wouldn't do anything to reduce global warming, but it would almost certainly require more oil to be transported across oceans in tankers.	

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Pipeline should be built on the topic Keystone XL pipeline.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Obama's_Keystone_pipeline_rejection_is_hard_to_accept&oldid=278 89"

This page was last edited on February 25, 2015, at 21:34.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.