

Originalism Goes Out the Window

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) E. J. Dionne

Source The Washington Post

Date June 27, 2008

URL <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/06/26/AR2008062603655.html>

Quote

“ Here is what the Second Amendment says: "A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed." Yesterday's narrow majority spent the first 54 pages of its decision, written by Scalia, trying to show that even though the Framers inserted 13 important words in front of the assertion of a right to bear arms, those words were essentially meaningless. Does that reflect an honest attempt to determine the "original" intention of the Constitution's authors?" ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic District of Columbia v. Heller.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Originalism_Goes_Out_the_Window&oldid=7824"

This page was last edited on June 27, 2008, at 16:04.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.