## Rules for the Real World

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The New York Times editorial board

**Source** The New York Times

**Date** September 20, 2006

**URL** http://www.nytimes.com/2006/09/20/opinion/20wed1.html

Quote

"Both bills choke off judicial review and allow even those acquitted by a military tribunal to be held indefinitely. Either bill might be acceptable if the United States government were infallible. As it is, they would legalize the sorts of abuses of power that the United States fought against in other countries for most of the 20th century."

"

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Act should be passed on the topic Military Commissions Act of 2006.

This item argues against the position Competing Graham-McCain-Warner bill should be passed on the topic Military Commissions Act of 2006.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Rules for the Real World&oldid=3202"

This page was last edited on September 20, 2006, at 16:15.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.