Syria strategy can't rely solely on military might

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The Washington Post editorial board

Source The Washington Post

Date August 26, 2013

 $\textbf{URL} \qquad \text{http://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/syria-response-cant-rely-solely-on-military-action/2013/08/26/cafe7f5a-0e64-11e3-bdf6-e4fc677d94a1_story.html}$

Quote

166 The United States can't dictate the outcome in Syria, and it would be foolish to send ground troops in an effort to do so. But by combining military measures with training, weapons supplies and diplomacy, it could exercise considerable influence. The military measures could include destroying forces involved in chemical weapons use and elements of the Syrian air force that have been used to target civilians, as well as helping to carve out a safe zone for rebels and the civilian populations they are seeking to protect.

,

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position United States should intervene on the topic Syrian civil war.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Syria_strategy_can't_rely_solely_on_military_might&oldid=16813"

This page was last edited on August 28, 2013, at 19:03.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.