The Constitution Trumps Arizona

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The New York Times editorial board

Source The New York Times

Date July 7, 2010

URL http://www.nytimes.com/2010/07/08/opinion/08thu1.html

Quote

"The Supreme Court has said federal authority can pre-empt state law when the federal interest is dominant and where there already exists a system of federal regulations. The government has done a poor job enforcing its immigration rules, to say the least, but they do exist, and clearly fall under what the Constitution calls "the supreme law of the land."

"

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Lawsuit was justified on the topic United States of America v. Arizona.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=The_Constitution_Trumps_Arizona&oldid=12422"

This page was last edited on July 13, 2010, at 11:43.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.