

The Constitution vs. Obamacare

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) National Review editorial board

Source National Review

Date March 26, 2012

URL <http://www.nationalreview.com/articles/294370/constitution-vs-obamacare-editors>

Quote

“ The federal government has the power to regulate commerce among the states, but that power neither includes nor implies the authority to force individuals to purchase particular products. If it is read to include or imply that authority, then it must surely follow that the federal government may institute a compulsory calisthenic program for all Americans. The administration argues that an individual's decision not to purchase health insurance has an effect, however minute, on health markets nationally, and that such decisions when aggregated have a large effect. But of course the same is true of individuals' decisions to remain sedentary or eat too many sweets.

”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Act is unconstitutional on the topic Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=The_Constitution_vs._Obamacare&oldid=15352"

This page was last edited on March 28, 2012, at 11:59.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.