The Mideast's Munich

This is the approved revision of this page, as well as being the most recent.

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s)	Arthur Herman								
Source	New York Post								
Date	August 16, 2006								
URL	http://www.nypost.com/seven/08162006/postopinion/opedcolumnists/the_mideasts_munich_opedcolumnists_arthur_herman	ı.htm							
Quote	"Resolution 1701 shows that, for the time being at least, the balance has likewise shifted to the terrorists and their state sponsors. Like Munich, it marks the triumph of the principle of putting off until tomorrow what needs to be done today. Like Munich, it will mean not peace in our time, but a bigger war in our future."	,,							

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Israel was right to agree to a cease-fire on the topic 2006 Israel-Lebanon conflict.

This item argues for the position United States should attack Iran on the topic Iranian nuclear crisis.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=The_Mideast%27s_Munich&oldid=5945"

Thic	anen	was	last	edited	on	luno	13	2007	at	23.36
11115	page	was	IdSL	euiteu	UII	June	LJ,	2007,	aL	23:30.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.