The Republican case for ratifying New START

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s)	Henry Kissinger, George Shultz, James Baker, Lawrence Eagleburger, Colin Powell
Source	The Washington Post
Date	December 2, 2010
URL	http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/12/01/AR2010120104598.html
Quote	"First, the agreement emphasizes verification, providing a valuable window into Russia's nuclear arsenal. Since the original START expired last December, Russia has not been required to provide notifications about changes in its strategic nuclear arsenal, and the United States has been unable to conduct on-site inspections. Each day, America's understanding of Russia's arsenal has been degraded, and resources have been diverted from national security tasks to try to fill the gaps."

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Treaty should be ratified on the topic New START.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=The_Republican_case_for_ratifying_New_START&oldid=13539"

This page was last edited on December 2, 2010, at 15:00.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.