## The Stimulus Evidence One Year On

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Robert Barro

**Source** The Wall Street Journal

**Date** February 23, 2010

**URL** http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748704751304575079260144504040.html

Quote

"The projected effect on other parts of GDP (consumer expenditure, private investment, net exports) is minus 180, minus 120, +60, minus 330, minus 330, which adds up to minus 900. Thus, viewed over five years, the fiscal stimulus package is a way to get an extra \$600 billion of public spending at the cost of \$900 billion in private expenditure. This is a bad deal."

"

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Act should not have been passed on the topic American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=The Stimulus Evidence One Year On&oldid=11136"

This page was last edited on February 25, 2010, at 06:40.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.