The US needs its stimulus now

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

| Author(s) | Financial Times editorial board | |
|-----------|---|----|
| Source | Financial Times | |
| Date | February 1, 2009 | |
| URL | http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/6d3d2010-f087-11dd-972c-0000779fd2ac.html | |
| Quote | 66 "One can make a good case for simplifying the package, and for tilting it somewhat further in the direction of tax cuts (cutting the payroll tax is especially attractive) in order to shove more of the stimulus to the front. But the aggregate mix of tax cuts and spending increases in the current proposal is not unreasonable. It certainly does not justify an effort to stall the bill." | ,, |

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Act should be passed on the topic American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

This item argues for the position Act's "Buy American" provisions should be removed on the topic American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=The_US_needs_its_stimulus_now&oldid=8971"

This page was last edited on February 4, 2009, at 03:58.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.