## The Voting Rights Act's work isn't finished

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The Washington Post editorial board

**Source** The Washington Post **Date** February 26, 2013

**URL** http://articles.washingtonpost.com/2013-02-26/opinions/37307799\_1\_voting-rights-act-clearance-jurisdictions

Quote

The NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund argues that voting dilution — for example, when officials slice and dice minority populations into myriad districts to limit their electoral influence — is "an old poison in new bottles." Even if the Justice Department is objecting less, protecting the right to vote is of such importance that Congress should have the authority to use strong measures to protect it.

"

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Supreme Court should strike down section 5 of the Voting Rights Act on the topic Shelby County v. Holder.

## Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=The\_Voting\_Rights\_Act's\_work\_isn't\_finished&oldid=16398"

This page was last edited on March 5, 2013, at 16:04.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.