

The argument peaks when the case for Keystone XL wanes

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Pittsburgh Post-Gazette editorial board

Source Pittsburgh Post-Gazette

Date November 21, 2014

URL <http://www.post-gazette.com/opinion/editorials/2014/11/21/Hollow-pipeline-The-argument-peaks-when-the-case-for-XL-wanes/stories/201411240031>

Quote

“ While procrastination has inflated the symbolic significance of the pipeline for both sides, the delay has helped to clarify the issue. The threat of climate change has increased, the claims about the pipeline creating lasting jobs have diminished. As for the energy argument, the United States is now exporting much petroleum, and gasoline is becoming cheaper at the pump. In short, the great political argument about the Keystone XL pipeline is coming to a head just when it's harder to make a case for it. ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Pipeline should be built on the topic Keystone XL pipeline.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=The_argument_peaks_when_the_case_for_Keystone_XL_wanes&oldid=27907"

This page was last edited on February 26, 2015, at 16:19.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.