

The future of Keystone XL

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Los Angeles Times editorial board

Source Los Angeles Times

Date February 2, 2014

URL <http://articles.latimes.com/2014/feb/02/opinion/la-ed-keystone-pipeline-20140202>

Quote

“ Obama should place heavy emphasis on what EPA scientists are telling him; these are the nation's top experts on the environment. And even if the Canadian tar sands extraction would not be, by itself, a devastating new source of greenhouse gases, the Keystone XL would be a sorry symbol of the world's continued reliance on fossil fuels. ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Pipeline should be built on the topic Keystone XL pipeline.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=The_future_of_Keystone_XL&oldid=27899"

This page was last edited on February 25, 2015, at 22:01.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.