

# The high court's Hamdan power grab

---

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

**Author(s)** John Yoo

**Source** Los Angeles Times

**Date** July 7, 2006

**URL** <http://www.latimes.com/news/printedition/opinion/la-oe-yoo7jul07,1,276359.story?coll=la-news-comment>

**Quote**

“Wartime decisions, which often must be made under pressure of time and unique circumstances, do not always fit the general rules passed beforehand by legislatures. War is dangerous and unpredictable and best handled by a president who can act with "decision, activity, secrecy and dispatch," in the words of the Federalist Papers.”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic Hamdan v. Rumsfeld.

---

Retrieved from

"[https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=The\\_high\\_court%27s\\_Hamdan\\_power\\_grab&oldid=3401](https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=The_high_court%27s_Hamdan_power_grab&oldid=3401)"

---

**This page was last edited on September 28, 2006, at 15:37.**

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.