

The hypocrisy of 'US v. Arizona'

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Rich Lowry

Source New York Post

Date July 7, 2010

URL http://www.nypost.com/p/news/opinion/opedcolumnists/the_hypocrisy_of_us_arizona_sc6zDn8pg7qAWMMGU69DhI

Quote

“ "Arizona has been here before. Pro-immigration groups sued over its workplace-enforcement law passed in 2007. All the same arguments were mustered about federal pre-emption. The federal courts rejected them because the state law so closely tracked the federal law and didn't contradict its stated purpose." ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Lawsuit was justified on the topic United States of America v. Arizona.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=The_hypocrisy_of_%27US_v._Arizona%27&oldid=12395"

This page was last edited on July 7, 2010, at 20:15.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.