Position: Webb should be elected

This position addresses the topic Virginia United States Senate election, 2006.

For this position

"Mr. Allen lacks any comparable independent-mindedness. He has spent his time in the Senate in lock step with the Bush administration, embracing tax cuts that have imperiled the nation's fiscal health; subsidies for oil and gas companies that hardly needed the help; prisoner detention policies that have undercut America's image abroad; and restrictions on embryonic stem cell research despite its medical potential."

From Virginia's Senate Race, by The Washington Post editorial board (The Washington Post, October 18, 2006) (view)

Against this position

"Mr. Webb is a cut-and-run, pro-tax-increase, pro-choice, pro-gay "marriage," anti-wiretapping, late-coming ally of DailyKos and MoveOn.org running in a red state. He is an easy mark in a policy-driven election. That is why it is so beneficial to him that this race not be about policy."


"Mr. Webb served in one of the most conservative administrations in American history. Today, he has embraced the entire agenda of the Democratic Party's far-left wing. In fairness, Mr. Webb has remained extremely consistent on some issues. One example is his disdain for women in military service."

"An intensely negative campaign on behalf of the challenger has partially obscured the chasm between Mr. Webb's current views and those of conservative Virginia. It can't be hidden, however. The James Webb of 2006 is too liberal for the Commonwealth. For Virginians who favor low taxes, strong defense, limited government and traditional values, George Allen is the clear choice for U.S. Senate."


Mixed on this position

No results
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