Vote ‘no’ on Kavanaugh

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s)	The Washington Post editorial board
Source	The Washington Post
Date	October 4, 2018
URL	https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/vote-no-on-kavanaugh/2018/10/04/23495e3a-c7f3-11e8-b1ed-1d2d65b86d0c_story.html?utm_term=.3ada337e22ad
Quote	“If Mr. Kavanaugh truly is, or believes himself to be, a victim of mistaken identity, his anger is understandable. But he went further in last Thursday’s hearing than expressing anger. He gratuitously indulged in hyperpartisan rhetoric against “the left,” describing his stormy confirmation as “a calculated and orchestrated political hit, fueled with apparent pent-up anger about President Trump and the 2016 election” and “revenge on behalf of the Clintons.” He provided neither evidence nor even a plausible explanation for this red-meat partisanship, but he poisoned any sense that he could serve as an impartial judge.”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Kavanaugh should be confirmed on the topic Brett Kavanaugh Supreme Court nomination.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Vote_‘no’_on_Kavanaugh&oldid=30505"

This page was last edited on December 28, 2018, at 17:48.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.